From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT

GRUNECKER KINKELDEY STOCKMAIR &

SCHWANHAUSSER Anwaltssozietat Maximilianstrasse 58 80538 Munchen

GRÜNECKER, KINKELDEY, STOCKMAIR & SCHWANHÄLISSER ANWALTSSOZIETÄT

19. Jan. 2005

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

FRIST
TERM
RINGANG - REPRESENTATION

18.01.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PCT1979AP071

ALLEMAGNE

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/IT 03/00019

International filing date (day/month/year) 22.01.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

17.09.2002

Applicant

CRUCIANI, Gabriele

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

| 12.16|| 14.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16.16|| 16

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

9)

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Rasmussen, S

Tel. +31 70 340-4595





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT1979AP071 FOR FURTHER				FOR FURTHER	ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. International fili PCT/IT 03/00019 22.01.2003				International filing date 22.01.2003	e (day/mont	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.09.2002	
A63	matior 3B63		ent Classification (IPC) or t	ooth national classification	n and IPC			
CR	UCIA	NI, G	abriele					
1.	. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						ctifications made before this Authorit.	
	These annexes consist of a total of 3 sheets.							
3.	This	repoi	rt contains indications re	elating to the following i	items:			
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
II Priority								
	Ш		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to	novelty, in	ventive step ar	d industrial applicability	
	IV		Lack of unity of inventi					
	V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						entive step or industrial applicability;	
	VI		Certain documents cite					
	VII Certain defects in the international application							
٠	VIII		Certain observations o	n the international app	lication			
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of c	Date of completion of this report		
16.0	16.04.2004				18.01.2	005		
	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:					d Officer	Sepas Petromo.	
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				ıs	Millward Telephon	d, R e No. +31 70 346	0-3345	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IT 03/00019

 Basis of th 	e report
---------------------------------	----------

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	Description, Pages						
	1-9		as originally filed					
	Cla	ims, Numbers						
	1-1	5	received on 20.08.2004 with letter of 20.08.2004					
	Dra	wings, Sheets						
	1/1	1-11/11	as originally filed					
2.	 With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority is language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 							
	The	ese elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of pub	olication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a translated Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).					
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.					
		filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.					
		·						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that to in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.					
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:								
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IT 03/00019

5	. 🗆	This report has been establis been considered to go beyon	hed as	s if (some of) disclosure as	the amendments had not been made, since they have siled (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		(Any replacement sheet cont report.)	aining	such amend	Iments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Add	ditional observations, if necess	ary:				
(1)	. No	n-establishment of opinion v	vith re	gard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
		the entire international application,					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 3-8,11,13					
		because:					
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
		the description, claims or draw that no meaningful opinion co	wings uld be	(indicate par formed (spe	ticular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear ecify):		
		the claims, or said claims Noscould be formed.	s. are s	so inadequat	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion		
		☑ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 3-8,11,13					
2.	. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide an or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:						
		the written form has not been	furnisl	hed or does	not comply with the Standard.		
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
٧.	Rea cita	soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	cle 35(orting	2) with rega such state	ard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
1.	Stat	ement					
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,2,9,10,12		
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,2,9,10,12		
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,2,9,10,12		
2.	Cita	tions and explanations					
	see	separate sheet					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)



Re Item III

を受けない。またのでは、10mmのでは、

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability.

- 1) For the original scope of the international search, see the further information sheet PCT/ISA/210, annexed to the international search report.
- 2) Although the search was not specifically directed to the presently claimed structures. since the cited documents appear to anticipate certain claims, an opinion can be given for those claims. The remaining claims are deemed to have not been searched, and thus no opinion can be given for those remaining claims.
- 3) It should also be noted that the amendments filed with the letter dated 20.08.2004 introduce subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as filed, contrary to Article 34(2)(b) PCT. The amendments concerned are the following:
- 3.1) claim 4: "a further sensor located within said external covering" all original embodiments show the sensor inside the bladder.
- 3.2) claim 11: "transceiver configured to detect said electronically detectable ball with a spatial resolution that is equal to or less than a diameter of said ball". Neither this wording, nor the technical features neccessary to provide such configuration are present in the original filing.
- 3.3) claim 13: "mobile display means configured to wirelessly communicate with said indicator means". Although the original filing makes mention of two different signalling means which could be derivably considered to fall within the scope of such a claim, there is no support in the original disclosure for such a generalised claim.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

4) Reference is made to the following document:

D1: GB-A-2 001 250 (PRECITEC GMBH) 31 January 1979 (1979-01-31)





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Inte

International application No. PCT/IT 03/00019

5) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): an electronically detectable ball (19); an external covering enclosing said bladder; (casing; page 3 lines 80-85) and a sensor, (oscillatory circuit 4a) at least a portion of which is integrated into said bladder, (page 3 lines 80-85 "or in the surface of the ball bladder")

- 6) Dependent claims 2,9,10 and 12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty, in view of D1, which also discloses:
- claim 2 (see page 3 lines 65-75) "3 coil arrangements connected in series"
- claim 9 the detection arrangement of D1, (eg page 1 lines 59-81) is identical to a standard metal detector
- claim 10 page 2 lines 93-110, (transmitting conductors 1,2; receiving conductor 3), the support structure being the goal, (page 3 lines 125-128; figure 3)

To be Noted

- 7) The use of the word "sensor" (eg claim 1) is not clear, since its normal meaning would be that the sensor itself is actively sensing some parameter. However, it appears from the (unclear) description that the term "sensor" is not intended to be limited to such a definition, rather, (see page 3 lines 11-12), to encompass any means which can either actively sense a parameter, or be passively detected by external means.
- 8) The limitation intended by the term "partially integrated" is not clear from the original filing. In all of the illustrated examples, the sensor is shown to be contained within the bladder. However, "contained within" is not considered synonymous with "integrated into".

For the main, the sensor is seen to be merely adhered to an internal wall of the bladder. (eg pictures 1,2,3)

In two examples, (sheet 2/11, picture(s) "8", and sheet 3/11, picture(s) "5"), the sensor is shown to be embedded (picture 8) or partially embedded (picture 5) in the actual wall of the bladder.

In parts of the text, eg page 6 lines 18-19 "one or more sensors may be applied and adapted in suitable position in the bladder or in the inside volume of the ball.", it appears that the ball need not necessarily have a bladder.





International application No. PCT/IT 03/00019

However, there is no reference to a sensor being mounted such that part of it is within the bladder, with a remaining part outside of the bladder. Therefore, it can only be assumed that this chosen wording ("a portion of which is integrated into the bladder") refers to the examples of pictures 5 and 8, which, as such, clearly excludes the remaining examples from the scope of claim 1.

This inconsistency between the claims and the description leads to doubt concerning the matter for which protection is sought, thereby rendering the claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.

9) According to claim 9, the sensor is configured to be responsive to pressure. It is thus unclear how it would be able to detect when the ball has crossed the goal-line, which is the intended purpose of the invention (see eg title).

い方というとは、最後の情報を表すっていくしている。一名の意思を見るないには、これを含める問題の解析を表現した。これには関係の解析のできるがある。ながなるには、のでは、ないのでは、これには、ないのでは、